



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/733,522	12/08/2000	Neta J. Amit	2210	1989

7590 07/01/2008  
LAW OFFICES OF ALBERT S. MICHALIK, PLLC  
704 - 228TH AVENUE NE  
SUITE 193  
SAMMAMISH,, WA 98074

EXAMINER
----------

SHEIKH, ASFAND M

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3627

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

07/01/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/733,522	<b>Applicant(s)</b> AMIT ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Asfand M. Sheikh	<b>Art Unit</b> 3627	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Asfand M. Sheikh. (3)\_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Richard C. Irving. (4)\_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 25 June 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 3 and 9.

Identification of prior art discussed: Etzion et al. (US 6,604,093).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative phoned regarding the status of claims 3 and 9 within the Final rejection mailed out on 5/1/2008. The examiner notes these claims have been rejected as noted by the office action summary and the claim header found on page 4 of the Final rejection. Further the examiner notes the support for claim 3 can be found at least on col. 17, lines 15-24 and for claim 9, the examiner notes that it is rejected under similar matter as claim 8.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Asfand M Sheikh/  
Examiner, Art Unit 3627

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action. Examiner's signature, if required